

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,436		10/22/2003	Sharon Mi Lyn Tan	12712/46001	3696
23838	7590	06/05/2006		EXAMINER	
		NYON LLP	THANH, LOAN H		
SUITE 70	TREET N. 00	.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHIN	IGTON, I	OC 20005	3763	·	
				DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/690,436	TAN, SHARON N	VII LYN
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T
		LoAn H. Thanh	3763	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ac	dress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mated patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma od will apply and will expire SIX (6) bute, cause the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status				
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is accordance.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal r	•	e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ☒ 7) □ 8) □ Applicat i 9) □ 10) ☒	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filled on 05/11/06 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	rawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. ner. accepted or b) \(\subseteq \) objection drawing(s) be held in aborection is required if the draw	ted to by the Examiner. eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received ents have been received from the first that the beau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National	Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The drawing objections have been withdrawn in view of the replacement drawings submitted 05/11/06. The drawings have been approved. Thus, new formal replacement drawings should be submitted to replace all handwritten reference numerals.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al. (USPN 6,371,944) in view of Davidson (USPN 5,588,443) and further in view of Fischell et al. (USPN 5,413,561).

Liu et al. disclose a system for use in the body comprising a catheter 7, a side arm, a lumen through the side arm 9, which communicates with the catheter lumen and a one-way valve 5 and an intervention device such as the wire 18. Liu et al. however does not teach the wire to be coated with an antimicrobial agent. Davidson discloses a wire for use in the body, which is coated with antimicrobial, anticoagulants or, medicaments as desirable or for reducing adhesion or other adverse cellular or tissue response to surfaces in contact with blood. See column 4, lines 25-35. It would have

Art Unit: 3763

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the catheter art at the time the invention was made to modify the wire used to contact with blood of Liu et al. with an antimicrobial coating as taught by Davidson in order to prevent infections within the internal system of the patient. Liu et al. and Davidson in combination is silent to a cap coupled to the guidewire/intervention device. Fischell et al. teach a cap coupled to a guidewire in order to provide a handle or sealing at the port/luer end. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Liu et al. in view of Davidson with a cap as taught by Fischell et al. in order to provide a handle or sealing end to prevent contamination or leaking of fluids at the proximal end.

With respect to claims 7-9 and 16-18, Liu et al. in view of Davidson does not disclose a threaded or stopper cap with the rod affixed to the cap. Fischell et al. disclose a sealing cap 80 for sealing the system to reduce the blood loss when inserting guiding catheters/rods/wires. See figures 1-3 which discloses a stopper cap and figure 7 which disclose a threaded cap. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the medical art to modify the device of Liu et al. with an end cap for the side arm as taught by Fischell et al. in order to reduce blood loss when inserting the wire into the side arm.

With respect to claims 5 and 14, it is well known in the surgical and medical arts to prepare/swab a patient with iodine before injecting or surgically introducing a device into a patient. With that knowledge in mind, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to swab/coat a device with lodine as a mere obvious selection of medicament which would prevent infection.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,436

Art Unit: 3763

With respect to the claims 20-26, the intervention device is capable of performing the function of remaining in the catheter lumen during fluid flow. Further, it is also considered to be associated with a hemodialysis procedure lacking any structural distinguishing features. Injections and infusions are well known procedures which are associated with hemodialysis.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al. (USPN 6,371,944) in view of Davidson (USPN 5,588,443) and further in view of Fields et al. (USPN 5,357,961).

Liu et al. and Davidson teaches the invention as substantially claimed. See above. However, they are silent to a cap connected to a guidewire/ intervention device. Fields et al. teach a guidewire/ intervention device having a cap for manipulation of the guidewire/intervention device (see column 4, lines 66-column 5, lines 6) and for sealing against the port and for stopping the guidewire at a fixed location relative to the catheter. (See column 4, lines 66-column 5, lines 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of handling guidewires to modify the guidewire/intervention device of Liu et al. and Davidson with a cap/handle as taught by Fields et al. in order to provide a larger gripping surface for manipulating and maneuvering the guidewire/ intervention device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/11/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive since applicant is arguing the amended claims and not the original claims. Applicant has only taken a limitation out of claim 7 which was previously rejected and amended it into claim 1. The prior art is the same and is applied in the with the same reasoning.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4966. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (First Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000/)

LoAn H. Thanh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

SVAM